

Minutes

Meeting Name	DCP 117 Working Group
Meeting Number	18
Date	13 October 2014
Time	10:00
Location	Teleconference/Webinar

Attendee	Representing
Neil Fitzsimons [NF] (Chair)	Brookfield Utilities
Andy Pace [AP]	ENWL
Beth Hannah [BH]	Ofgem
Chris Goodwin [CG]	ES Pipelines
Chris Ong [CO]	UK Power Networks
Dave Wornell [DW]	Western Power Distribution
Mike Harding [MH]	Brookfield Utilities
Pat Wormald [PW]	Northern PowerGrid
Michael Walls [MW] (Secretariat)	ElectraLink Limited

1 ADMINISTRATION

- 1.1 There were no apologies received for this meeting.
- 1.2 There were no comments on the minutes of the previous meeting.

2 COMPETITION LAW

- 2.1 The Working Group then reviewed the “CDCM Competition Law Dos and Don’ts” and all Working Group members agreed to the terms set out in the document.
- 2.2 It was noted to the Working Group members that the guidance is published on the DCUSA website with the meeting papers.

3 REVIEW OF THE IMPACT ANALYSIS

- 3.1 MH then took the Working Group through the impact analysis that was produced by the DCUSA modelling consultant; this is included as Attachment 1.

- 3.2 MH explained that in his view that the impact analysis provided results that were in line with initial expectations. CO also agreed that this analysis is consistent with that which was produced initially for the group by MH.
- 3.3 MH then asked whether the Working Group members had any further comments or questions on the analysis or the solution generally, and the Working Group was satisfied with what has been produced.

4 DRAFT CONSULTATION

- 4.1 MH then highlighted to the Working Group that the next step would be to issue this solution out for consultation. The Working Group agreed with this point, and requested that the following questions be added to the draft consultation document:
- Do you understand the approach being used to address the issues raised in DCP 117?
 - Are there any other solutions that could potentially be more cost reflective?
- 4.2 CO felt that within the consultation document that the Working Group should provide a detailed background of the CP and how it came about, also with the history to explain how it is now up to this point. It was felt that this will give respondents the details necessary to evaluate the proposals more thoroughly.
- 4.3 It was agreed that ElectraLink would draft the consultation document, along with MH, and circulate this to the group for review and amendments.

5 WORK PLAN AND NEXT STEPS

- 5.1 The Working Group then agreed the following work plan:
- ElectraLink to draft the consultation document, including the questions developed within this meeting, as well as, the standard consultation questions and send this to MH for further development.
 - MH to draft the explanation of the history of the CP and the description of the solution for inclusion within the document.
 - The finalised draft consultation document to be reviewed by the Working Group by 28 October
 - ElectraLink to issue the consultation document by 31 October for a period of 2 weeks
 - ElectraLink to schedule a meeting for late November to review the responses to the consultation.

6 ANY OTHER BUSINESS

- 6.1 There were no other items of business raised at the meeting.

7 DATE/LOCATION OF NEXT MEETING

- 7.1 ElectraLink to schedule a meeting in late November to review the consultation responses.

APPENDIX A: SUMMARY OF ACTIONS**NEW AND OPEN ACTIONS**

Action Ref.	Action	Owner	Update
15/01	ElectraLink to progress the CP through the Work Plan, and its associated actions, as agreed by the Working Group	ElectraLink	

ACTIONS AGREED CLOSED AT THE MEETING

Action Ref.	Action	Owner	Update